









United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/424,272	11/	18/1999	EUGEN PAVEL	21306	7762	
535	7590	04/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE FIRM	OF KARL	F ROSS	PATEL, GAUTAM			
5676 RIVER PO BOX 90		ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900				2655	6	
				DATE MAILED: 04/16/200	DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/424,272	PAVEL, EUGEN				
onice Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this accommissation and	Gautam R. Patel	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	arch 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach mant (a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) 🗍 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2655

Response to Amendment:

1. This is in response to amendment filed on 3-16-04 (Paper # 5).

2. Claims 4-7 remain for examination. Claims 4-7 are newly presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prasad et al., US. patent 5,912,257 (hereafter <u>Prasad</u>) in view of Abe et al., US. patent 4,703,019 (hereafter <u>Abe</u>).

As to claim 4, Prasad discloses the invention as claimed [see Figs. 1-32, especially, 21 and 31-32] including A data storage and retrieval system comprising an optical memory comprising:

An optical memory [fig. 31, unit 2]; and

At least one laser [fig. 31, unit 10] for directing a beam [fig. 31, Laser beam 12] at a said memory and adapted to read stored information from and write information to be stored to said memory [col. 71, line 41 to col. 73, line 32].

Prasad discloses a data storage and retrieval system characterized in that the fluorescent photosensitive ceramics [see col. 41, lines 43-64]. Prasad does not specifically disclose that vitroceramic [or a type of glass-ceramic] can also be used in his memory construction to the extent claimed.

Art Unit: 2655

However, the use of glass ceramics for data storage has been well known in the art for along time as they offer economic and performance alternatives with substantial improvements.

Also Abe clearly discloses: that the fluorescent photosensitive vitroceramics are very suitable for optical memories, in other words vitroceramics are used as information storage medium or memories [col. 2, lines 21-37]. Both Prasad and Abe are interested in improving the material for storage, both indicates various materials for a memory or storage and both indicates fluorescent photosensitive glasses as good information recording mediums.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have realized that it would be advantageous to provide economic and performance enhancements by providing inexpensive raw storage material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used the fluorescent photosensitive glass in the system of Prasad as taught by Abe because one would be motivated to reduce the cost of the memory by using inexpensive raw materials for the construction of the memory [col. 2, lines 21-24; Abe].

- As to claim 5, Abe discloses:
 Memory is composed of a fluorescent photo-sensitive glass [col. 2, lines 21-37].
- 6. As to claim 7, Prasad discloses:

A confocal microscope [fig. 31, unit 6] [col. 72, line 41 to col. 73, line 32];

A tunable laser having a light pulses at a rate of a maximum of 100fs [col. 41, line 65 to col. 42,. line 16], for reading and writing from and to said memory through said confocal microscope [col. 72, line 41 to col. 73, line 32];

A vertical scanning system fig. 31, unit 18 and 20] and a radial scanning system [fig. 31, unit 16] used for the movement of writing and excitation beams over said memory [col. 72, line 41 to col. 73, line 32];

An engine [magnetic coil; not shown] for rotating said memory; and

Art Unit: 2655

An excitation laser [fig. 31, unit 10], having a beam perpendicular to a fluorescent beam from said memory and provided with a vertical scanner [col. 72, line 41 to col. 73, line 32] for reading said memory by one photon process [col. 42, lines 17-30 and fig. 16].

NOTE: These system inherently reads with one photon because reading is done on one surface at a time and does not require two photon process for reading.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prasad and Abe as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Dejneka, US. patent 5,955,388 (hereafter <u>Dejneka</u>).

As to claim 6, Prasad and Abe discloses a data storage and retrieval system characterized in that the fluorescent photosensitive ceramics or glass. Prasad does not specifically disclose that vitroceramic [or a type of glass-ceramic] can also be used in his system to the extent claimed.

However, the use of glass ceramics for data storage has been well known in the art as they offer economic and performance alternatives with substantial improvements.

Also Dejneka clearly discloses: that the fluorescent photosensitive vitroceramics are good hosts for 3-D displays and memories, in other words vitroceramics are used as information recording medium [col. 2, lines 15-54]. Both Prasad and Dejneka are interested in improving the material for storage, both indicates various materials for 3-dimensional memory and storage and both indicates fluorescent photosensitive glasses as good information recording mediums.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have realized that it would be advantageous to provide economic and performance enhancements by providing alternative storage material such as glass ceramics or variation of these glass ceramics. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used the fluorescent photosensitive vitroceramics in the system of Prasad as taught by Dejneka because one

Art Unit: 2655

would be motivated to improve the cost and performance of the recording and reading system by using the fluorescent photosensitive vitroceramics.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to new claims 4-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Other prior art cited

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Wu (US. patent 4,297,417) Photosensitive colored glasses ...
 - b. Blatchford et al. (US. patent 3,694,627) Heating element ...
 - c. Sleegers et al. (US. patent 5,216,664) Optically readable disc ...
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE **FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gautam R. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-7940. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6.

The appropriate fax number for the organization (Group 2650) where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To can be reached on (703) 305-4827.

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700 or the group Customer Service section whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Ceffatel

Gautam R. Patel Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2655

April 14, 2004